

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/972,802		10/09/2001	George H. Small	104410-100	6750
28765	7590	07/18/2005		EXAMINER	
WINSTON				PHILOGENE, PEDRO	
1700 K STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20006				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			3732	

DATE MAILED: 07/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	5 & RV	clemental		SD			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	•	09/972,802	SMALL, GEORGE	Е Н.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Pedro Philogene	3732				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ad	ldress			
THE - External control	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we use to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of thi rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rly (30) days will be considered timel NTHS from the mailing date of this companies BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Ap	oril 2005.					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-11 and 17-29 is/are pending in the a	application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5)🖂	Claim(s) 1-11 and 26-28 is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>17-25 and 29</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		<i>ં ,</i>			
Applicat	ion Papers			·			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) 🔲 objected to	by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		• •	• •			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form P1	ГО-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		Application No.				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		<u> </u>	Stage			
	application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachmen	nt(s)						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTC	O-152)			
	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		•			

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 4/21/05 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Reynolds et al. (5,938,666).

With respect to claim 29, Reynolds et al disclose an umbilical cod clamp (10) comprising a pair of arms (11,12,31,32) each having a length in a generally V-shaped configuration having rear end portions joined together at an apex thereof and having free forward end portions normally disposed in spaced apart relation; as best seen in FIG.1, and being movable towards each other for clamping an umbilical cord between the arms; a channel (21,22) that extends substantially along the length of at least one arm and is open at the free end portion thereof to facilitate the escape of fluid therefrom when the clamp is closed; as set forth in column 4, lines 32-58; and a locking portion

Application/Control Number: 09/972,802 Page 3

Art Unit: 3732

(15,16,35,36) for securing the arms together when the clamp is closed; as best seen in Fig.2.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 17-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Merritt (5,006,830) in view of Dorsey (6,132,447).

With respect to claims 17-25, it is noted that Merritt teaches all the limitations, except for an identification means comprising a gender-identifying color associated with portion of the clamp to facilitate indentification of the gender of the baby; as claimed by applicant. However, in a similar art, Dorsey evidences the use of a device to provide umbilical devices with gender-identifying color coding (blue for boys and pink for girls) for readily recognizable indicia associated with newborn baby. Inasmuch as one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that this newborn baby indicia would also be advantageous subsequent to umbilical cord severing, it would have been obvious to similarly form the Merritt clamp with such color-coding. Whether the entire clamp is formed of blue or pink or a portion remains a neutral color is clearly a matter of personal preference with no criticality having been advanced for either choice.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-11, 26-28 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

The Affidavit under 37 CFR 1.132 filed 2/11/05 is insufficient to overcome the rejection of claims 17-25 based upon Merrit/Dorsey as set forth in the last Office action because: In paragraph 11 of the affidavit filed 2/11/05 applicant shows no evidence of Long-Felt Need. It states that the claimed subject matter solved a problem that was long standing in the art. However, there is no showing that others of ordinary skill in the art were working on the problem and if so, for how long. In addition, there is no evidence that if persons skilled in the art who were presumably working on the problem knew of the teachings of the above cited references, they would still be unable to solve the problem. See MPEP § 716.04.

In view of the foregoing, when all of the evidence is considered, the totality of the rebuttal evidence of nonobviousness fails to outweigh the evidence of obviousness.

Conclusion

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pedro Philogene whose telephone number is (571) 272-4716. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

Art Unit: 3732

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin P. Shaver can be reached on (571) 272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Pedro Philogene July 13, 2005

> PEDRO PHILOGENE PRIMARY EXAMINER